arXiv:1206.0583v1 [math.PR] 4 Jun 2012

Log-Harnack Inequality for Gruschin Type Semigroups[*]

Feng-Yu Wang$^{1),2)}$ **and Lihu Xu**$^{3)}$

$^{1)}$School of Mathematical Sciences, Beijing Normal University, Beijing 100875, China

$^{2)}$Department of Mathematics, Swansea University, Singleton Park, SA2 8PP, UK

Email: wangfy@bnu.edu.cn; F.Y.Wang@swansea.ac.uk

$^{3)}$Department of Mathematics, Brunel University, Uxbridge, UB8 3PH, UK

Email: Lihu.Xu@brunel.ac.uk

March 6, 2013

Abstract

By constructing a coupling in two steps and using the Girsanov theorem under a regular conditional probability, the log-Harnack inequality is established for a large class of Gruschin type semigroups whose generator might be both degenerate and non-Lipschitzian.

AMS subject Classification: 60J75, 60J45.

Keywords: Gruschin semigroup, log-Harnack inequality, coupling, regular conditional probability.

1 Introduction

In recent years, regularity estimates has been investigated for some typical subelliptic diffusion semigroups, see [10, 25, 27] for the study of generalized stochastic Hamiltonian systems, and see [3, 6, 9, 11] for gradient estimates and Harnack inequalities on Heisenberg groups. This paper aims to investigate the log-Harnack inequality introduced in [16, 18] for Gruschin type semigroups whose generators are degenerate and possibly singular. This inequality is a weaker version of the dimension-free Harnack inequality introduced in [17], and have a

---

[*] Supported in part by NNSFC(11131003), SRFDP, the Laboratory of Mathematical and Complex Systems and the Fundamental Research Funds for the Central Universities.

number of applications to heat kernel estimates and transportation-cost inequalities, see e.g. [21, Section 4].

Let us start with the classical Gruschin semigroup on $\mathbb{R}^2$ with order $l > 0$, which is generated by

$$L(x^{(1)}, x^{(2)}) := \frac{1}{2}\Big(\frac{\partial^2}{\partial (x^{(1)})^2} + |x^{(1)}|^{2l}\frac{\partial^2}{\partial (x^{(2)})^2}\Big).$$

The corresponding diffusion process can be constructed by solving the SDE

$$\begin{cases} \mathrm{d}X_t^{(1)} = \mathrm{d}B_t^{(1)}, \\ \mathrm{d}X_t^{(2)} = |X_t^{(1)}|^l \, \mathrm{d}B_t^{(2)}, \end{cases}$$

where $B_t := (B_t^{(1)}, B_t^{(2)})$ is a two-dimensional Brownian motion. Clearly, the equation is degenerate, and when $l < 1$ the coefficient in the second equation is non-Lipschitzian. In the simplest case that $l = 1$, the generalized curvature-dimension condition introduced in [5] holds, so that the gradient estimates and Harnack inequalities derived in [4, 5] are valid for the associated semigroup. When l is a natural number larger than 1, a more general version of curvature condition has been confirmed in [21], which also implies explicit gradient estimates of the semigroup. Moreover, for general $l \geq 1$, a Bismut type derivative formula was derived for the semigroup in [20] by using Malliavin calculus. However, due to the singularity of the coefficient, the arguments used in these papers are no longer valid if $l \in (0, 1)$, and except for $l = 1$, the log-Harnack inequality is not yet known for the semigroup. In this paper we aim to establish the log-Harnack inequality of the Gruschin semigroup for all $l > 0$. But, our argument used in the paper does not imply the dimension-free Harnack inequality in the sense of [17] for the Gruschin semigroup.

A key tool in the study is the coupling method by change of measure introduced in [1]. This method has been developed and applied to various finite- and infinite-dimensional models, see e.g. [10, 12, 13, 14, 15, 19, 23, 22, 24, 26] and references therein. Due to the high degeneracy (for large l) and the singularity (for small l) of the coefficient, we have to overcome new difficulty in the study.

We consider the following more general SDE for $X_t := (X_t^{(1)}, X_t^{(2)})$ on $\mathbb{R}^m \times \mathbb{R}^d = \mathbb{R}^{m+d} (m, d \geq 1)$:

$$\begin{cases} \mathrm{d}X_t^{(1)} = b^{(1)}(t, X_t^{(1)})\mathrm{d}t + \sigma^{(1)}(t)\, \mathrm{d}B_t^{(1)}, \\ \mathrm{d}X_t^{(2)} = b^{(2)}(t, X_t)\mathrm{d}t + \sigma^{(2)}(t, X_t^{(1)})\, \mathrm{d}B_t^{(2)}, \end{cases} \tag{1.1}$$

where $B_t := (B_t^{(1)}, B_t^{(2)})$ is the $(m + d)$-dimensional Brownian motion on a complete probability space $(\Omega, \mathscr{F}, \mathbb{P})$ with natural filtration $\{\mathscr{F}_t\}_{t \geq 0}$, and

$$\begin{aligned} &b^{(1)} : [0, \infty) \times \mathbb{R}^m \to \mathbb{R}^m, \quad b^{(2)} : [0, \infty) \times \mathbb{R}^{m+d} \to \mathbb{R}^d, \\ &\sigma^{(1)} : [0, \infty) \to \mathbb{R}^m \otimes \mathbb{R}^m, \quad \sigma^{(2)} : [0, \infty) \times \mathbb{R}^m \to \mathbb{R}^d \otimes \mathbb{R}^d \end{aligned}$$

are measurable, and $b^{(1)}, b^{(2)}, \sigma^{(2)}$ are continuous in the second variable. Assume

(A1) There exists a decreasing function $\lambda : [0, \infty) \to (0, \infty)$ such that $\sigma^{(1)}(t)\sigma^{(1)}(t)^* \geq \lambda_t^2 I_{m\times m},\ t \geq 0.$

(A2) There exists an increasing function $K : [0, \infty) \to \mathbb{R}$ such that

$$\langle b^{(1)}(t, x^{(1)}) - b^{(1)}(t, y^{(1)}), x^{(1)} - y^{(1)}\rangle \leq K_t|x^{(1)} - y^{(1)}|^2,\ t \geq 0, x^{(1)}, y^{(1)} \in \mathbb{R}^m.$$

(A3) There exist increasing functions $\Theta : [0, \infty) \to \mathbb{R}, h : [0, \infty) \to [1, \infty)$ and $\varphi_{\cdot} : [0, \infty)^2 \to [0, \infty)$ with $\varphi(0) = 0$ such that

$$\begin{aligned}&\langle b^{(2)}(t, x) - b^{(2)}(t, y), x^{(2)} - y^{(2)}\rangle + \frac{1}{2}\|\sigma^{(2)}(t, x^{(1)}) - \sigma^{(2)}(t, y^{(1)})\|_{HS}^2\\&\leq \Theta_t|x^{(2)} - y^{(2)}|^2 + \varphi_t(|x^{(1)} - y^{(1)}|^2)h(|x^{(1)}| \vee |y^{(1)}|)\end{aligned}$$

holds for all $t \geq 0$ and $x = (x^{(1)}, x^{(2)}), y = (y^{(1)}, y^{(2)}) \in \mathbb{R}^{m+d}$.

It is well known that **(A2)** implies the existence, uniqueness and non-explosion of strong solutions to the first equation in (1.1). Once $X_t^{(1)}$ is fixed, then it follows from **(A3)** that the second equation in (1.1) admits a unique global solution. Note that **(A3)** allows $\sigma^{(2)}(t, \cdot)$ to be merely Hölder continuous when e.g. $\varphi_t(r) = r^\alpha$ for some constant $\alpha \in (0, 1)$. For any $x = (x^{(1)}, x^{(2)}) \in \mathbb{R}^{m+d}$, we let $X_t(x) = (X_t^{(1)}(x), X_t^{(2)}(x))$ denote the solution to (1.1) with $X_0 = x$. Since $X_t^{(1)}(x)$ does not depend on $x^{(2)}$ we also write $X_t^{(1)}(x) = X_t^{(1)}(x^{(1)})$. We intend to establish Harnack type inequalities for the associated semigroup P_t:

$$P_t f(x) := \mathbb{E}f(X_t(x)), \quad f \in \mathscr{B}_b(\mathbb{R}^{m+d}), t \geq 0, x \in \mathbb{R}^{m+d}.$$

We remark that **(A1)** means that the first component process $X_t^{(1)}$ is a non-degenerate diffusion process on $\mathbb{R}^m$, **(A2)** is the usual semi-Lipschitz condition for this process, and when e.g. $b^{(2)}$ is independent of $x^{(1)}$ and semi-Lipschitzian in $x^{(2)}$, **(A3)** holds provided

$$\frac{1}{2}\|\sigma^{(2)}(t, x^{(1)}) - \sigma^{(2)}(t, y^{(1)})\|_{HS}^2 \leq \varphi_t(|x^{(1)} - y^{(1)}|^2)h(|x^{(1)}| \vee |y^{(1)}|).$$

In particular, for the Gruschin semigroup where $\sigma^{(2)}(t, x^{(1)}) = |x^{(1)}|^l$, this condition holds for $\varphi_t(r) = r^{l\wedge 1}$ and $h(r) = c \vee r^{(l-1)^+}$ for some constant $c \geq 1$.

In order to control the degeneracy of $\sigma^{(2)}(t, \cdot)$, we need the condition

$$(1.2)\quad \psi_T(x^{(1)}, y^{(1)}) := \sup_{t\in[T,2T]} \mathbb{E}^{y^{(1)}}\Big\{\big\|\sigma^{(2)}(t, X_t^{(1)})^{-1}\big\|^2 \sup_{s\in[0,T]} h\big(|X_s^{(1)}| + |x^{(1)} - y^{(1)}|\big)\Big\} < \infty$$

for $T > 0$ and $x^{(1)}, y^{(1)} \in \mathbb{R}^m$, where $\mathbb{E}^{y^{(1)}}$ is the expectation for $X_t^{(1)}(y^{(1)})$, $\|\sigma^{-1}\|$ stands for the operator norm of the inverse of a $d \times d$-matrix σ, and when the matrix is non-invertible we take $\|\sigma^{-1}\| = \infty$.

Theorem 1.1. *Assume that* **(A1)**, **(A2)**, **(A3)** *and* (1.2) *hold. Then for any strictly positive function* $f \in \mathscr{B}_b(\mathbb{R}^{m+d}), x = (x^{(1)}, x^{(2)}), y = (y^{(1)}, y^{(2)}) \in \mathbb{R}^{m+d}$ *and* $T > 0$,

$$\begin{aligned} P_{2T} \log f(y) \le & \log P_{2T} f(x) + \frac{K_T |x^{(1)} - y^{(1)}|^2}{\lambda_T^2 (1 - \mathrm{e}^{-2K_T T})} \\ & + \frac{\Theta_{2T} \mathrm{e}^{2\Theta_T T} \psi_T(x^{(1)}, y^{(1)})}{\mathrm{e}^{-2\Theta_{2T} T} - \mathrm{e}^{-4\Theta_{2T} T}} \Big\{ |x^{(2)} - y^{(2)}|^2 + \frac{1 - \mathrm{e}^{-2\Theta_T T}}{\Theta_T} \varphi_T(|x^{(1)} - y^{(1)}|^2) \Big\}. \end{aligned}$$

Let us come back to the classical Gruschin semigroup for which $m = d = 1, b^{(1)} = b^{(2)} = 0, \sigma^{(1)} = 1$ and $\sigma^{(2)}(t, x^{(1)}) = |x^{(1)}|^l$. Then **(A1)**-**(A3)** hold for $\lambda = 1, K = \Theta = 0, \varphi(r) = r^{l \wedge 1}$ and $h(r) = c_1 \vee r^{(l-1)^+}$ for some constant $c_1 \ge 1$. When $l \in (0, \frac{1}{2})$, we may take $h \equiv 1$ so that

$$\psi_T(x^{(1)}, y^{(1)}) = \sup_{t \in [T, 2T]} \int_{\mathbb{R}} \frac{1}{|z|^{2l} \sqrt{2t\pi}} \mathrm{e}^{-\frac{|z - x^{(1)}|^2}{2t}} \mathrm{d}z \le \frac{c_2}{T^l} < \infty$$

for some constant $c_2 > 0$. Therefore, according to Theorem 1.1, the log-Harnack inequality

$$P_{2T} \log f(y) \le \log P_{2T} f(x) + \frac{|x^{(1)} - y^{(1)}|^2}{2T} + \frac{c}{T^{l+1}} \Big\{ |x^{(2)} - y^{(2)}|^2 + 2T |x^{(1)} - y^{(1)}|^{2l} \Big\}$$

holds. On the other hand, however, it is easy to see that $\psi_T = \infty$ for $l \ge \frac{1}{2}$. Similarly, for the Gruschin semigroup on $\mathbb{R}^{m+d}$, i.e. $b^{(1)} = 0, b^{(2)} = 0, \sigma^{(1)} = I_{m \times m}$ and $\sigma^{(2)}(x^{(1)}) = |x^{(1)}|^l I_{d \times d}$, $\psi_T(x^{(1)}, y^{(1)}) < \infty$ (and hence log-Harnack inequality holds) if and only if $l \in (0, \frac{m}{2})$.

To derive the log-Harnack inequality for the Gruschin semigroup for all $l > 0$, we intend to relax the condition (1.2) by using the invertibility of the following integral matrix Q_T to replace that of $\sigma^{(2)}$. To this end, we will need to assume that $b^{(2)}(t, x)$ is linear in $x^{(2)}$; that is, $b^{(2)}(t, x) = A x^{(2)} + \tilde{b}^{(2)}(t, x^{(1)})$ for some $d \times d$-matrix A and some $\tilde{b}^{(2)} \in C([0, \infty) \times \mathbb{R}^m; \mathbb{R}^d)$. Let

$$Q_T = \int_T^{2T} \mathrm{e}^{A(T-t)} \sigma^{(2)}(t, X_t^{(1)}) \sigma^{(2)}(t, X_t^{(1)})^* \mathrm{e}^{A^*(T-t)} \mathrm{d}t, \quad T > 0.$$

Theorem 1.2. *Assume that* **(A1)**, **(A2)** *and* **(A3)** *hold for* $b^{(2)}(t, x) = A x^{(2)} + \tilde{b}^{(2)}(t, x^{(1)})$, *where* A *is a* $d \times d$*-matrix and* $\tilde{b}^{(2)} \in C([0, \infty) \times \mathbb{R}^m; \mathbb{R}^d)$. *Let* $\theta_T = \sup_{t \in [0, T]} \|\mathrm{e}^{-At}\|$. *If* Q_T *is invertible and*

$$\Psi_T(x^{(1)}, y^{(1)}) := \mathbb{E}^{y^{(1)}} \Big\{ \big\| Q_T^{-1} \big\|^2 \Big(\int_T^{2T} \big\| \sigma^{(2)}(t, X_t^{(1)}) \big\|^2 \mathrm{d}t \Big) \sup_{t \in [0, T]} h\big(|X_t^{(1)}| + |x^{(1)} - y^{(1)}| \big) \Big\} < \infty,$$

then for any strictly positive $f \in \mathscr{B}_b(\mathbb{R}^{m+d})$,

$$\begin{aligned} P_{2T} \log f(y) \le & \log P_{2T} f(x) + \frac{K_T |x^{(1)} - y^{(1)}|^2}{\lambda_T^2 (1 - \mathrm{e}^{-2K_T T})} \\ & + \frac{\theta_T \mathrm{e}^{2\Theta_T T} \Psi_T(x^{(1)}, y^{(1)})}{2} \Big\{ |x^{(2)} - y^{(2)}|^2 + \frac{1 - \mathrm{e}^{-2\Theta_T T}}{\Theta_T} \varphi_T(|x^{(1)} - y^{(1)}|^2) \Big\}. \end{aligned}$$

Because of Theorem 1.2, we are now able to present the log-Harnack inequality for the Gruschin semigroup on $\mathbb{R}^{m+d}$ with any $l > 0$. Of course, one may also construct more general examples to illustrate Theorem 1.2.

Corollary 1.3 (Gruschin Semigroup)**.** *Let* $b^{(1)} = 0, b^{(2)} = 0, \sigma^{(1)} = I_{m\times m}$ *and* $\sigma^{(2)}(x^{(1)}) = |x^{(1)}|^l I_{d\times d}$ *for some constant* $l > 0$*. Then there exists a constant* $c > 0$ *such that*

$$\begin{aligned} &P_{2T}\log f(y) \le \log P_{2T}f(x) + \frac{|x^{(1)} - y^{(1)}|^2}{2T} \\ &+ \frac{c}{T^{l+1}}\Big(|x^{(1)}|^{2(l-1)^+} + |y^{(1)}|^{2(l-1)^+} + T^{(l-1)^+}\Big)\Big(|x^{(2)} - y^{(2)}|^2 + 2T|x^{(1)} - y^{(1)}|^{2(l\wedge 1)}\Big)\end{aligned}$$

holds for all $T > 0$ *and* $x, y \in \mathbb{R}^{m+d}$.

In the next two sections, we will present proofs of Theorem 1.1, Theorem 1.2 and Corollary 1.3 respectively. In the proof of Theorem 1.1, the additional drifts constructed in the coupling are adapted so that the usual argument applies. However, in the proof of Theorem 1.2 the drift constructed for the coupling of the second component process is merely conditional adapted given $B^{(1)}$, a new trick is then introduced to derive the log-Harnack inequality.

2 Proof of Theorem 1.1

Let $x = (x^{(1)}, x^{(2)}), y = (y^{(1)}, y^{(2)})$ and $T > 0$ be fixed. The idea to establish a Harnack type inequality of P_{2T} using a coupling by change of measure is as follows: construct two processes X_t, Y_t and a probability density function R such that $X_{2T} = Y_{2T}, X_0 = x, Y_0 = y$, and

$$P_{2T}f(x) = \mathbb{E}f(X_{2T}), \quad P_{2T}f(y) = \mathbb{E}\big\{Rf(Y_{2T})\big\}, \quad f \in \mathscr{B}_b(\mathbb{R}^{m+d}).$$

Then, by e.g. the Young inequality, for strictly positive f one obtains

$$(2.1) \qquad P_{2T}\log f(y) = \mathbb{E}\big\{R\log f(Y_{2T})\big\} = \mathbb{E}\big\{R\log f(X_{2T})\big\} \le \mathbb{E}(R\log R) + \log P_{2T}f(x).$$

This implies the log-Harnack inequality provided $\mathbb{E}(R\log R) < \infty$.

When the SDE is driven by an additive noise, this idea can be easily realized by adding a proper drift to the equation and using the Girsanov theorem. In the non-degenerate multiplicative noise case, the argument has been well modified in [19] by constructing a coupling with singular additional drifts. For the present model, as the SDE is driven by a multiplicative noise with a possibly degenerate and singular coefficient, it is hard to follow the known ideas to construct a coupling in one go. What we will do in this paper is to construct a coupling in two steps, where the second step will be realized under the regular conditional probability given $B^{(1)}$:

(1) We first construct a coupling $(X_t^{(1)}, Y_t^{(1)})$ by change of measure for the first component of the process such that $X_t^{(1)} = Y_t^{(1)}$ for $t \ge T$. This part is now standard as the first equation in (1.1) is driven by the non-degenerate additive noise $\sigma^{(1)}(t)\mathrm{d}B_t^{(1)}$.

(2) Once $X_t^{(1)} = Y_t^{(1)}$ holds for $t \geq T$, the equations for $X_t^{(2)}$ and $Y_t^{(2)}$ will have same noise part for $t \geq T$, so that we are able to construct a coupling by change of measure for them such that $X_{2T}^{(2)} = Y_{2T}^{(2)}$.

2.1 Construction of the coupling

Throughout this section, we assume that **(A1)-(A3)** and condition (1.2) hold. We first construct the Brownian motion B_t as the coordinate process on the Wiener space $(\Omega, \mathscr{F}, \mathbb{P})$, where

$$\Omega = C([0,\infty); \mathbb{R}^{m+d}) = C([0,\infty); \mathbb{R}^m) \times C([0,\infty); \mathbb{R}^d),$$

$\mathscr{F}$ is the Borel σ-field, $\mathbb{P}$ is the Wiener measure (i.e. the distribution of the $(m+d)$-dimensional Brownian motion starting at 0). Let

$$B_t(\omega) = (B_t^{(1)}(\omega), B_t^{(2)}(\omega)) = (\omega_t^{(1)}, \omega_t^{(2)}), \quad \omega = (\omega^{(1)}, \omega^{(2)}) \in \Omega, t \geq 0.$$

Then B_t is the $(m+d)$-dimensional Brownian motion w.r.t. the natural filtration $(\mathscr{F}_t)_{t\geq 0}$. Moreover, let $\mathscr{F}^{(1)} = \sigma(B_t^{(1)} : t \geq 0)$ and $\mathscr{F}_t^{(2)} = \sigma(B_s^{(2)} : 0 \leq s \leq t), t \geq 0$. It is well known that the conditional regular probability $\mathbb{P}(\cdot|\mathscr{F}^{(1)})$ given $\mathscr{F}^{(1)}$ exists. This structure will enable us to first construct a coupling $(X_t^{(1)}, Y_t^{(1)})$ for the first component process up to time T under probability $\mathbb{P}$, then construct a coupling $(X_t^{(2)}, Y_t^{(2)})$ for the second component process from time T on under the regular conditional probability $\mathbb{P}(\cdot|\mathscr{F}^{(1)})$. For any probability measure $\tilde{\mathbb{P}}$ on $(\Omega, \mathscr{F})$, we denote by $\mathbb{E}_{\tilde{\mathbb{P}}}$ the expectation w.r.t. $\tilde{\mathbb{P}}$. When $\tilde{\mathbb{P}} = \mathbb{P}$, we simply denote the expectation by $\mathbb{E}$ as usual.

Let $X_t = (X_t^{(1)}, X_t^{(2)})$ solve the equation (1.1) with $X_0 = x = (x^{(1)}, x^{(2)})$. Given $Y_0 = y = (y_1^{(1)}, y_2^{(2)}) \in \mathbb{R}^{m+d}$, we are going to construct $Y_t^{(1)}$ on $\mathbb{R}^m$ and $Y_t^{(2)}$ on $\mathbb{R}^d$ respectively, such that $Y_t^{(1)} = X_t^{(1)}$ for $t \geq T$ and $Y_{2T}^{(2)} = X_{2T}^{(2)}$.

2.1.1 Construction of $Y_t^{(1)}$

Consider the equation

$$\mathrm{d}Y_t^{(1)} = b^{(1)}(t, Y_t^{(1)})\mathrm{d}t + \sigma^{(1)}(t)\mathrm{d}B_t^{(1)} - v_t^{(1)}\mathrm{d}t, \quad Y_0^{(1)} = y^{(1)}, \tag{2.2}$$

where

$$v_t^{(1)} := \frac{2K_T|x^{(1)} - y^{(1)}|\mathrm{e}^{-K_T t}(Y_t^{(1)} - X_t^{(1)})}{(1 - \mathrm{e}^{-2K_T T})|X_t^{(1)} - Y_t^{(1)}|} 1_{\{X_t^{(1)} \neq Y_t^{(1)}\}}, \quad t \geq 0.$$

Obviously, the equation has a unique strong solution before the coupling time

$$\tau_1 := \inf\{t \geq 0 : X_t^{(1)} = Y_t^{(1)}\}.$$

Then, letting $Y_t^{(1)} = X_t^{(1)}$ for $t \geq \tau_1$, we see that $(Y_t^{(1)})_{t\geq 0}$ is a strong solution to (2.2). So, we can reformulate $v_t^{(1)}$ as

$$v_t^{(1)} = \frac{2K_T|x^{(1)} - y^{(1)}|\mathrm{e}^{-K_T t}(Y_t^{(1)} - X_t^{(1)})}{(1 - \mathrm{e}^{-2K_T T})|X_t^{(1)} - Y_t^{(1)}|} 1_{[0,\tau_1)}(t), \quad t \geq 0. \tag{2.3}$$

Proposition 2.1. *For any $t \geq 0$,*

$$|X_t^{(1)} - Y_t^{(1)}| \leq \frac{e^{-K_T t} - e^{-K_T(2T-t)}}{1 - e^{-2K_T T}} |x^{(1)} - y^{(1)}| 1_{[0,T]}(t) \leq |x^{(1)} - y^{(1)}| 1_{[0,T]}(t). \tag{2.4}$$

Consequently, $\tau_1 \leq T$ and $X_t^{(1)} = Y_t^{(1)}$ for $t \geq T$.

Proof. By **(A2)** and (2.3), we have

$$d|X_t^{(1)} - Y_t^{(1)}| \leq \left(K_T |X_t^{(1)} - Y_t^{(1)}| - \frac{2K_T |x^{(1)} - y^{(1)}| e^{-K_T t}}{1 - e^{-2K_T T}} \right) dt, \quad t \in [0, \tau_1) \cap [0, T].$$

Then

$$|X_t^{(1)} - Y_t^{(1)}| \leq \frac{e^{-K_T t} - e^{-K_T(2T-t)}}{1 - e^{-2K_T T}} |x^{(1)} - y^{(1)}|, \quad t \in [0, \tau_1) \wedge [0, T].$$

This implies that $\tau_1 \leq T$ and also (2.4) since $X_t^{(1)} = Y_t^{(1)}$ for $t \geq \tau_1$. □

To formulate (2.2) as the first equation in (1.1), we let

$$\tilde{B}_t^{(1)} = B_t^{(1)} - \int_0^t \xi^{(1)}(s) ds, \quad \xi^{(1)}(s) := \sigma^{(1)}(t)^{-1} v_t^{(1)}, \quad t \geq 0.$$

From **(A1)** and (2.3) we see that $\xi^{(1)}(s)$ is bounded and adapted. So, by the Girsanov theorem, $\tilde{B}_t$ is an m-dimensional Brownian motion under the probability measure $\mathbb{Q}^{(1)} := R_1(T)\mathbb{P}$, where

$$R_1(t) := \exp\left[\int_0^t \langle \xi^{(1)}(s), dB_s^{(1)} \rangle - \frac{1}{2} \int_0^t |\xi^{(1)}(s)|^2 ds \right], \quad t \geq 0$$

is a martingale. Obviously, (2.2) can be formulated as

$$dY_t^{(1)} = b^{(1)}(t, Y_t^{(1)}) dt + \sigma^{(1)}(t) d\tilde{B}_t^{(1)}, \quad Y_0^{(1)} = y^{(1)}. \tag{2.5}$$

As shown in (2.1), for the log-Harnack inequality we need to estimate the entropy of $R_1 := R_1(T)$.

Proposition 2.2. *Let $R_1 = R_1(T)$. Then*

$$\mathbb{E}\{R_1 \log R_1\} \leq \frac{K_T |x^{(1)} - y^{(1)}|^2}{\lambda_T^2 (1 - e^{-2K_T T})}. \tag{2.6}$$

Proof. By $\tau_1 \leq T$, **(A1)**, (2.3), we have

$$\int_0^T |\sigma^{(1)}(t)^{-1} v_t^{(1)}|^2 dt \leq \frac{2K_T |x^{(1)} - y^{(1)}|^2}{\lambda_T^2 (1 - e^{-2K_T T})}. \tag{2.7}$$

Then, it follows from (2.2) and the definition of R_1 that

$$\begin{aligned} \mathbb{E}\{R_1 \log R_1\} &= \mathbb{E}_{\mathbb{Q}^{(1)}} \log R_1 \\ &= \frac{1}{2} \mathbb{E}_{\mathbb{Q}^{(1)}} \int_0^T |\sigma^{(1)}(t)^{-1} v_t^{(1)}|^2 dt \leq \frac{K_T |x^{(1)} - y^{(1)}|^2}{\lambda_T^2 (1 - e^{-2K_T T})}. \end{aligned}$$

□

2.1.2 Construction of $Y_t^{(2)}$

Consider the equation

$$\mathrm{d}Y_t^{(2)} = b^{(2)}(t, Y_t)\mathrm{d}t + \sigma^{(2)}(t, Y_t^{(1)})\mathrm{d}B_t - v_t^{(2)}\mathrm{d}t, \quad Y_0^{(2)} = y^{(2)}, \tag{2.8}$$

where

$$v_t^{(2)} := \frac{2\Theta_{2T}|X_T^{(2)} - Y_T^{(2)}|\mathrm{e}^{-\Theta_{2T}t}(Y_t^{(2)} - X_t^{(2)})}{(\mathrm{e}^{-2\Theta_{2T}T} - \mathrm{e}^{-4\Theta_{2T}T})|X_t^{(2)} - Y_t^{(2)}|} 1_{\{t \geq T, X_t^{(2)} \neq Y_t^{(2)}\}}, \quad t \geq 0.$$

As $Y_t^{(1)}$ is now fixed, it is easy to see that (2.8) has a unique solution before time

$$\tau_2 := \inf\{t \geq T : X_t^{(2)} = Y_t^{(2)}\}.$$

Letting $Y_t^{(2)} = X_t^{(2)}$ for $t \geq \tau_2$, we see that $(Y_t^{(2)})_{t \geq 0}$ solves the equation (2.8). Thus,

$$v_t^{(2)} = \frac{2\Theta_{2T}|X_T^{(2)} - Y_T^{(2)}|\mathrm{e}^{-\Theta_{2T}t}(Y_t^{(2)} - X_t^{(2)})}{(\mathrm{e}^{-2\Theta_{2T}T} - \mathrm{e}^{-4\Theta_{2T}T})|X_t^{(2)} - Y_t^{(2)}|} 1_{[T, \tau_2)}(t), \quad t \geq 0. \tag{2.9}$$

Proposition 2.3. *For any $t \geq T$,*

$$|X_t^{(2)} - Y_t^{(2)}| \leq \frac{\mathrm{e}^{-\Theta_{2T}(t-T)} - \mathrm{e}^{-\Theta_{2T}(3T-t)}}{1 - \mathrm{e}^{-2\Theta_{2T}T}} |X_T^{(2)} - Y_T^{(2)}| 1_{[T,2T]}(t). \tag{2.10}$$

Proof. Since $\varphi_\cdot(0) = 0$ and $X_t^{(1)} = Y_t^{(1)}$ for $t \geq T$, by **(A3)**, (2.9) and Itô's formula we obtain

$$\mathrm{d}|X_t^{(2)} - Y_t^{(2)}| \leq \left(\Theta_{2T}|X_t^{(2)} - Y_t^{(2)}| - \frac{2\Theta_{2T}|X_T^{(2)} - Y_T^{(2)}|\mathrm{e}^{-\Theta_{2T}t}}{\mathrm{e}^{-2\Theta_{2T}} - \mathrm{e}^{-4\Theta_{2T}T}}\right)\mathrm{d}t, \quad t \in [T, \tau_2) \cap [T, 2T].$$

This implies (2.10) for $t \in [T, \tau_2) \cap [T, 2T]$. Therefore, $\tau_2 \leq 2T$ and (2.10) holds for all $t \geq T$. □

To formulate (2.8) as the second equation in (1.1), we need to make use of the Girsanov theorem to get rid of the additional drift. To this end, let

$$\xi^{(2)}(s) = \sigma^{(2)}(s, Y_s^{(1)})^{-1} v_s^{(2)}, \quad s \in [T, 2T]$$

and

$$R_2(t) = \exp\left[\int_T^t \langle \xi^{(2)}(s), \mathrm{d}B_s^{(2)} \rangle - \frac{1}{2}\int_T^t |\xi^{(2)}(s)|^2 \mathrm{d}s\right], \quad t \in [T, 2T].$$

Since $B_t^{(2)}$ is independent of $\mathscr{F}^{(1)}$, the following result ensures that $\{R_2(t)\}_{t \in [T,2T]}$ is a uniformly integrable $\mathscr{F}_t^{(2)}$-martingale under $\mathbb{P}(\cdot|\mathscr{F}^{(1)})$.

Proposition 2.4. *Under $\mathbb{P}(\cdot|\mathscr{F}^{(1)})$, $\{R_2(t)\}_{t \in [T,2T]}$ is an $\mathscr{F}_t^{(2)}$-martingale and $R_2 := R_2(2T)$ satisfies*

$$\begin{aligned} \mathbb{E}_{\mathbb{P}(\cdot|\mathscr{F}^{(1)})}\{R_2 \log R_2\} &\leq \left(\int_T^{2T} \frac{2\Theta_{2T}^2 \mathrm{e}^{-2\Theta_{2T}t}\|\sigma^{(2)}(t, Y_t^{(1)})^{-1}\|^2}{(\mathrm{e}^{-2\Theta_{2T}T} - \mathrm{e}^{-4\Theta_{2T}T})^2}\mathrm{d}t\right) \\ &\times \left(\mathrm{e}^{2\Theta_T T}|x^{(2)} - y^{(2)}|^2 + \frac{\mathrm{e}^{2\Theta_T T} - 1}{\Theta_T}\varphi_T(|x^{(1)} - y^{(1)}|^2)\right) \sup_{t \in [0,T]} h(|Y_t^{(1)}| + |x^{(1)} - y^{(1)}|). \end{aligned} \tag{2.11}$$

Proof. We make use of an approximation argument. Let $\xi_n^{(2)}(s) = \xi^{(2)}(s)1_{\{|\xi^{(2)}(s)|\le n\}}$, and let

$$R_{2,n}(t) = \exp\bigg[\int_T^t \langle \xi_n^{(2)}(s), \mathrm{d}B_s^{(2)}\rangle - \frac{1}{2}\int_T^t |\xi_n^{(2)}(s)|^2\mathrm{d}s\bigg], \quad n\ge 1, t\in[T,2T].$$

Then $\{R_{2,n}(t)\}_{t\in[T,2T]}$ is an $\mathscr{F}_t^{(2)}$-martingale under $\mathbb{P}(\cdot|\mathscr{F}^{(1)})$. So, it remains to show that

$$\begin{aligned}
&\mathbb{E}_{\mathbb{P}(\cdot|\mathscr{F}^{(1)})}\big\{R_{2,n}\log R_{2,n}\big\}(t) \le \bigg(\int_T^{2T} \frac{2\Theta_{2T}^2 \mathrm{e}^{-2\Theta_{2T}t}\|\sigma^{(2)}(t,Y_t^{(1)})^{-1}\|^2}{(\mathrm{e}^{-2\Theta_{2T}T}-\mathrm{e}^{-4\Theta_{2T}T})^2}\mathrm{d}t\bigg)\\
&\times\bigg(\mathrm{e}^{2\Theta_T T}|x^{(2)}-y^{(2)}|^2 + \frac{\mathrm{e}^{2\Theta_T T}-1}{\Theta_T}\varphi_T(|x^{(1)}-y^{(1)}|^2)\sup_{t\in[0,T]} h(|Y_t^{(1)}|+|x^{(1)}-y^{(1)}|)\bigg)
\end{aligned}\tag{2.12}$$

holds for all $t\in[T,2T]$ and $n\ge 1$. Let $\mathbb{Q}_{2,n} = R_{2,n}(2T)\mathbb{P}(\cdot|\mathscr{F}^{(1)})$. By the Girsanov theorem, under $\mathbb{Q}_{2,n}$ the process

$$\tilde{B}_t^{(2)} := B_t^{(2)} - \int_T^{T\vee t}\xi_n^{(2)}(s)\mathrm{d}s, \quad t\in[0,2T]$$

is a d-dimensional Brownian motion. Then, by the definition of $\xi_n^{(2)}(s)$ and (2.9), we have

$$\begin{aligned}
&\mathbb{E}_{\mathbb{P}(\cdot|\mathscr{F}^{(1)})}\big\{R_{2,n}\log R_{2,n}\big\}(2T) = \mathbb{E}_{\mathbb{Q}_{2,n}}\log R_{2,n}(2T) = \frac{1}{2}\int_T^{2T}\mathbb{E}_{\mathbb{Q}_{2,n}}|\xi_n^{(2)}(s)|^2\mathrm{d}s\\
&\le \bigg(\int_T^{2T}\frac{2\Theta_{2T}^2\mathrm{e}^{-2\Theta_{2T}t}\|\sigma^{(2)}(t,Y_t^{(1)})^{-1}\|^2}{(\mathrm{e}^{-2\Theta_{2T}T}-\mathrm{e}^{-4\Theta_{2T}T})^2}\,\mathrm{d}t\bigg)\mathbb{E}_{\mathbb{P}(\cdot|\mathscr{F}^{(1)})}\big\{R_{2,n}(2T)|X_T^{(2)}-Y_T^{(2)}|^2\big\}.
\end{aligned}\tag{2.13}$$

Since $\{R_{2,n}(t)\}_{t\in[T,2T]}$ is an $\mathscr{F}_t^{(2)}$-martingale under $P(\cdot|\mathscr{F}^{(1)})$, and $R_{2,n}(T)=1$, we have

$$\mathbb{E}_{\mathbb{P}(\cdot|\mathscr{F}^{(1)})}\big\{R_{2,n}(2T)|X_T^{(2)}-Y_T^{(2)}|^2\big\} = \mathbb{E}_{\mathbb{P}(\cdot|\mathscr{F}^{(1)})}|X_T^{(2)}-Y_T^{(2)}|^2.\tag{2.14}$$

Finally, by **(A3)**, (2.4) and Itô's formula, we obtain

$$\begin{aligned}
\mathrm{d}|X_t^{(2)}-Y_t^{(2)}|^2 \le& 2\big\{\Theta_T|X_t^{(2)}-Y_t^{(2)}|^2 + \varphi_T(|x^{(1)}-y^{(1)}|^2)h(|Y_t^{(1)}|+|x^{(1)}-y^{(1)}|)\big\}\mathrm{d}t\\
&+2\big\langle X_t^{(2)}-Y_t^{(2)}, \{\sigma^{(2)}(t,X_t^{(1)})-\sigma^{(2)}(t,Y_t^{(1)})\}\mathrm{d}B_t^{(2)}\big\rangle, \quad t\in[T,2T].
\end{aligned}$$

Since $h\ge 1$, this implies

$$\begin{aligned}
&\mathbb{E}_{\mathbb{P}(\cdot|\mathscr{F}^{(1)})}|X_T^{(2)}-Y_T^{(2)}|^2\\
&\le\bigg(\mathrm{e}^{2\Theta_T T}|x^{(2)}-y^{(2)}|^2+\frac{\mathrm{e}^{2\Theta_T T}-1}{\Theta_T}\varphi_T(|x^{(1)}-y^{(1)}|^2)\bigg)\sup_{t\in[0,T]}h(|Y_t^{(1)}|+|x^{(1)}-y^{(1)}|).
\end{aligned}\tag{2.15}$$

Combining this with (2.13) and (2.14), we prove (2.12). $\square$

Proof of Theorem 1.1. Let $X_t = (X_t^{(1)}, X_t^{(2)})$ and $Y_t = (Y_t^{(1)}, Y_t^{(2)})$ be constructed above. Let $R = R_1 R_2$. By Propositions 2.1, 2.2, 2.3 and 2.4, we have $X_{2T} = Y_{2T}$, $\mathbb{E}_{\mathbb{P}(\cdot|\mathscr{F}^{(1)})} R_2 = 1$, and noting that the distribution of $Y^{(1)}$ under $R_1\mathbb{P}$ coincides with that of $X^{(1)}(y^{(1)})$ under $\mathbb{P}$,

$$\begin{aligned}
&\mathbb{E}\{R\log R\} = \mathbb{E}\Big\{(R_1\log R_1)\mathbb{E}_{\mathbb{P}(\cdot|\mathscr{F}^{(1)})}R_2\Big\} + \mathbb{E}\Big\{R_1\mathbb{E}_{\mathbb{P}(\cdot|\mathscr{F}^{(1)})}(R_2\log R_2)\Big\}\\
&\le \frac{K_T|x^{(1)}-y^{(1)}|^2}{\lambda_T^2(1-\mathrm{e}^{-2K_T T})} + \mathbb{E}^{y^{(1)}}\Bigg\{\bigg(\int_T^{2T}\frac{2\Theta_{2T}^2\mathrm{e}^{-2\Theta_{2T}t}\|\sigma^{(2)}(t,X_t^{(1)})^{-1}\|^2}{(\mathrm{e}^{-2\Theta_{2T}T}-\mathrm{e}^{-4\Theta_{2T}T})^2}\mathrm{d}t\bigg)\\
&\quad\times\bigg(\mathrm{e}^{2\Theta_T T}|x^{(2)}-y^{(2)}|^2 + \frac{\mathrm{e}^{2\Theta_T T}-1}{\Theta_T}\varphi_T(|x^{(1)}-y^{(1)}|^2)\bigg)\sup_{t\in[0,T]} h(|X_t^{(1)}|+|x^{(1)}-y^{(1)}|)\Bigg\}\\
&\le \frac{K_T|x^{(1)}-y^{(1)}|^2}{\lambda_T^2(1-\mathrm{e}^{-2K_T T})} + \frac{\Theta_{2T}\mathrm{e}^{2\Theta_T T}\psi_T(x^{(1)},y^{(1)})}{\mathrm{e}^{-2\Theta_{2T}T}-\mathrm{e}^{-4\Theta_{2T}T}}\bigg\{|x^{(2)}-y^{(2)}|^2 + \frac{1-\mathrm{e}^{-2\Theta_T T}}{\Theta_T}\varphi_T(|x^{(1)}-y^{(1)}|^2)\bigg\}.
\end{aligned}$$

Therefore, the desired log-Harnack inequality follows from (2.1), since under the probability measure $\mathbb{Q} := R\mathbb{P}$

$$\tilde{B}_t := B_t + \int_0^t (\xi^{(1)}(s), \xi^{(2)}(s))\mathrm{d}s, \quad t \ge 0$$

is a Brownian motion on $\mathbb{R}^{m+d}$, and Y_t with $Y_0 = y$ solves the equation

$$\begin{cases}\mathrm{d}Y_t^{(1)} = b^{(1)}(t, Y_t^{(1)})\mathrm{d}t + \sigma^{(1)}(t)\,\mathrm{d}\tilde{B}_t^{(1)},\\ \mathrm{d}\tilde{Y}_t^{(2)} = b^{(2)}(t, Y_t)\mathrm{d}t + \sigma^{(2)}(t, Y_t^{(1)})\,\mathrm{d}\tilde{B}_t^{(2)},\end{cases}$$

so that $P_{2T}f(y) = \mathbb{E}_{\mathbb{Q}} f(Y_{2T}) = \mathbb{E}\big\{Rf(Y_{2T})\big\} = \mathbb{E}\big\{Rf(X_{2T})\big\}$. □

3 Proofs of Theorem 1.2 and Corollary 1.3

Proof of Theorem 1.2. Let $X_t = (X_t^{(1)}, X_t^{(2)})$ and $Y_t^{(1)}$ be constructed in the last section. We now modify the construction of $Y_t^{(2)}$ in terms of the condition $\Psi_T < \infty$. Let

$$\eta_t = \sigma^{(2)}(t, Y_t^{(1)})^* \mathrm{e}^{A^*(T-t)} Q_T^{-1}(Y_T^{(2)} - X_T^{(2)}) 1_{[T,2T]}(t), \quad t \ge 0.$$

Let $Y_t^{(2)}$ solve the equation

$$\mathrm{d}Y_t^{(2)} = b^{(2)}(t, Y_t)\mathrm{d}t + \sigma^{(2)}(t, Y_t^{(1)})\big\{\mathrm{d}B_t^{(2)} - \eta_t\mathrm{d}t\big\}, \quad Y_0^{(2)} = y^{(2)}. \tag{3.1}$$

Since under $\mathbb{P}(\cdot|\mathscr{F}^{(1)})$ the processes $X_t^{(1)}$ and $Y_t^{(1)}$ are fixed and $B_t^{(2)}$ is a d-dimensional Brownian motion, by **(A3)** this equation has a unique solution. Since $X_t^{(1)} = Y_t^{(1)}$ for $t \ge T$, for the present $b^{(2)}$ we have $b^{(2)}(t, X_t) - b^{(2)}(t, Y_t) = A(X_t^{(2)} - Y_t^{(2)})$ for $t \ge T$. So,

$$X_{2T}^{(2)} - Y_{2T}^{(2)} = \mathrm{e}^{AT}(X_T^{(2)} - Y_T^{(2)}) + \int_T^{2T} \mathrm{e}^{A(2T-t)}\sigma^{(2)}(t, Y_t^{(1)})\eta_t\mathrm{d}t = 0$$

as $Y_t^{(1)} = X_t^{(1)}$ for $t \geq T$. Therefore, $X_{2T} = Y_{2T}$. Moreover, let

$$\tilde{R}_2 = \exp\bigg[\int_T^{2T} \langle \eta_t, \mathrm{d}B_t^{(2)}\rangle - \frac{1}{2}\int_T^{2T} |\eta_t|^2 \mathrm{d}t\bigg].$$

Following the proof of Proposition 2.4 and using (2.15), we obtain

$$\begin{aligned}
&\mathbb{E}_{\mathbb{P}(\cdot|\mathscr{F}^{(1)})}\big\{\tilde{R}_2 \log \tilde{R}_2\big\} = \frac{1}{2}\int_T^{2T} \mathbb{E}_{\tilde{R}_2\mathbb{P}(\cdot|\mathscr{F}^{(1)})}|\eta_t|^2 \mathrm{d}t \\
&\leq \frac{\theta_T}{2}\Big(\mathbb{E}_{\mathbb{P}(\cdot|\mathscr{F}^{(1)})}\|X_T^{(2)} - Y_T^{(2)}\|^2\Big)\|Q_T^{-1}\|^2 \int_T^{2T} \|\sigma^{(2)}(t, Y_t^{(1)})\|^2 \mathrm{d}t \\
&\leq \frac{\theta_T}{2}\bigg\{\mathrm{e}^{2\Theta_T T}|x^{(2)} - y^{(2)}|^2 + \frac{\mathrm{e}^{2\Theta_T T} - 1}{\Theta_T}\varphi_T(|x^{(1)} - y^{(1)}|^2)\bigg\} \sup_{t\in[0,T]} h(|Y_t^{(1)}| + |x^{(1)} - y^{(1)}|) \\
&\qquad \times \|Q_T^{-1}\|^2 \int_T^{2T} \|\sigma^{(2)}(t, Y_t^{(1)})\|^2 \mathrm{d}t.
\end{aligned}$$

Repeating the proof of Theorem 1.1 and using this inequality to replace (2.11), we obtain

$$\begin{aligned}
\mathbb{E}\big\{(R_1\tilde{R}_2)\log(R_1\tilde{R}_2)\big\} &\leq \frac{K_T|x^{(1)} - y^{(1)}|^2}{\lambda_T^2(1 - \mathrm{e}^{-2K_T T})} \\
&+ \frac{\theta_T \mathrm{e}^{2\Theta_T T}\Psi_T(x^{(1)}, y^{(1)})}{2}\bigg\{|x^{(2)} - y^{(2)}|^2 + \frac{1 - \mathrm{e}^{-2\Theta_T T}}{\Theta_T}\varphi_T(|x^{(1)} - y^{(1)}|^2)\bigg\}.
\end{aligned} \tag{3.2}$$

Since $B_t^{(2)}$ is a d-dimensional Brownian motion under $\mathbb{P}(\cdot|\mathscr{F}^{(1)})$, by the Girsanov theorem, under $\tilde{R}_2\mathbb{P}(\cdot|\mathscr{F}^{(1)})$ the process

$$\tilde{B}_t^{(2)} := B_t^{(2)} - \int_T^t \eta_s \mathrm{d}s, \quad t \in [T, 2T]$$

is a d-dimensional Brownian motion. Noting that

$$Y_t^{(2)} = Y_T^{(2)} + \int_T^t b^{(2)}(s, Y_s)\mathrm{d}s + \int_T^t \sigma^{(2)}(s, Y_s^{(1)})\mathrm{d}\tilde{B}_s^{(2)}, \quad t \in [T, 2T],$$

we see that the distribution of $Y_{2T}^{(2)}$ under $\tilde{R}_2\mathbb{P}(\cdot|\mathscr{F}^{(1)})$ coincides with that of $\tilde{Y}_{2T}^{(2)}$ under $\mathbb{P}(\cdot|\mathscr{F}^{(1)})$, where

$$\tilde{Y}_t^{(2)} = \begin{cases} Y_t^{(2)}, & \text{if } t \in [0, T], \\ Y_T^{(2)} + \int_T^t b^{(2)}(s, Y_s)\mathrm{d}s + \int_T^t \sigma^{(2)}(s, Y_s^{(1)})\mathrm{d}B_s^{(2)}, & \text{if } t \in [T, 2T]. \end{cases}$$

Therefore,

$$\mathbb{E}_{\mathbb{P}(\cdot|\mathscr{F}^{(1)})}\big\{\tilde{R}_2 \log f(Y_{2T})\big\} = \mathbb{E}_{\mathbb{P}(\cdot|\mathscr{F}^{(1)})}\big\{\log f(Y_{2T}^{(1)}, \tilde{Y}_{2T}^{(2)})\big\}.$$

Combining this with $X_{2T} = Y_{2T}$, we obtain

$$\begin{aligned}\mathbb{E}\big\{R_1\tilde{R}_2 \log f(X_{2T})\big\} &= \mathbb{E}\big\{R_1\tilde{R}_2 \log f(Y_{2T})\big\} = \mathbb{E}\Big(R_1 \mathbb{E}_{\mathbb{P}(\cdot|\mathscr{F}^{(1)})}\big\{\tilde{R}_2 \log f(Y_{2T})\big\}\Big) \\ &= \mathbb{E}\Big(R_1 \mathbb{E}_{\mathbb{P}(\cdot|\mathscr{F}^{(1)})}\big\{\log f(Y_{2T}^{(1)}, \tilde{Y}_{2T}^{(2)})\big\}\Big) = \mathbb{E}\big\{R_1 \log f(Y_{2T}^{(1)}, \tilde{Y}_{2T}^{(2)})\big\}.\end{aligned} \tag{3.3}$$

Moreover, again by the Girsanov theorem, under $R_1\mathbb{P}$ the process $(\tilde{B}_t^{(1)}, B_t^{(2)})_{t\in[0,2T]}$ is a $(d+m)$-dimensional Brownian motion, recall that

$$\tilde{B}_t^{(1)} = B_t^{(1)} - \int_0^{T\wedge t} \xi^{(1)}(s)\mathrm{d}s, \quad t \in [0, 2T].$$

Noting that $(Y_t^{(1)}, \tilde{Y}_t^{(2)})$ solves the equation

$$\begin{cases} \mathrm{d}Y_t^{(1)} = b^{(1)}(t, Y_t^{(1)})\mathrm{d}t + \sigma^{(1)}(t)\, \mathrm{d}\tilde{B}_t^{(1)}, \ Y_0^{(1)} = y^{(1)}, \\ \mathrm{d}\tilde{Y}_t^{(2)} = b^{(2)}(t, Y_t^{(1)}, \tilde{Y}_t^{(2)})\mathrm{d}t + \sigma^{(2)}(t, Y_t^{(1)})\, \mathrm{d}B_t^{(2)}, \ \tilde{Y}_0^{(2)} = y^{(2)}, \end{cases}$$

we conclude that the distribution of $(Y_{2T}^{(1)}, \tilde{Y}_{2T}^{(2)})$ under $R_1\mathbb{P}$ coincides with that of $X_{2T}(y)$ under $\mathbb{P}$. Therefore, it follows from (3.3) and the Young inequality that

$$\begin{aligned}P_{2T} \log f(y) &= \mathbb{E}\big\{R_1 \log f(Y_{2T}^{(1)}, \tilde{Y}_{2T}^{(2)})\big\} = \mathbb{E}\big\{R_1\tilde{R}_2 \log f(X_{2T})\big\} \\ &\le \log P_{2T} f(x) + \mathbb{E}\big\{(R_1\tilde{R}_2)\log(R_1\tilde{R}_2)\big\}.\end{aligned}$$

Combining this with (3.2) we complete the proof. □

Proof of Corollary 1.3. It is easy to see that **(A1)**-**(A3)** hold for $\lambda = 1, K = \Theta = 0, \varphi(r) = r^{l\wedge 1}$ and $h(r) = c_1 \vee r^{2(l-1)^+}$ for some constant $c_1 \ge 1$. Moreover,

$$Q_T = I_{d\times d} \int_T^{2T} |B_t^{(1)} + x^{(1)}|^{2l} \mathrm{d}t$$

is invertible and

$$\|Q_T^{-1}\|^2 \int_T^{2T} \|\sigma^{(2)}(X^{(1)})\|^2 \mathrm{d}t = \frac{1}{\int_T^{2T} |B_t^{(1)} + x^{(1)}|^{2l}\mathrm{d}t}.$$

Then, using the fact that for any $r \ge 0$,

$$\mathbb{E} \sup_{t\in[0,T]} |B_t^{(1)} + x^{(1)}|^{2r} \le c(r)(|x^{(1)}|^{2r} + T^r)$$

holds for some constant $c(r) > 0$, and noting that [21, Lemma 3.1] implies

$$\begin{aligned}&\mathbb{E}\bigg(\int_T^{2T} |B_t^{(1)} + x^{(1)}|^{2l}\mathrm{d}t\bigg)^{-2} \\ &= \mathbb{E}\bigg\{\mathbb{E}\bigg(\bigg(\int_0^T \big|(B_{T+t}^{(1)} - B_T^{(1)}) + (B_T^{(1)} + x^{(1)})\big|^{2l}\mathrm{d}t\bigg)^{-2}\bigg| B_T^{(1)}\bigg)\bigg\} \le \frac{C}{T^{2(l+1)}}\end{aligned}$$

for some constant $C > 0$, we conclude that

$$\begin{aligned}\Psi_T(x^{(1)}, y^{(1)}) &\le \bigg(\mathbb{E} \sup_{t\in[0,T]} h(|B_t^{(1)} + x^{(1)}| + |x^{(1)} - y^{(1)}|)^2\bigg)^{\frac{1}{2}} \bigg(\mathbb{E}\bigg(\int_T^{2T} |B_t^{(1)} + x^{(1)}|^{2l} \mathrm{d}t\bigg)^{-2}\bigg)^{\frac{1}{2}} \\ &\le \frac{c}{T^{l+1}}\Big(|x^{(1)}|^{2(l-1)^+} + |y^{(1)}|^{2(l-1)^+} + T^{(l-1)^+}\Big)\end{aligned}$$

holds for some constant $c > 0$. Therefore, the desired log-Harnack inequality follows from Theorem 1.2. □

References

[1] M. Arnaudon, A. Thalmaier, F.-Y. Wang, *Harnack inequality and heat kernel estimates on manifolds with curvature unbounded below,* Bull. Sci. Math. 130(2006), 223–233.

[2] M. Arnaudon, A. Thalmaier, F.-Y. Wang, *Gradient estimates and Harnack inequalities on non-compact Riemannian manifolds,* Stoch. Proc. Appl. 119(2009), 3653–3670.

[3] D. Bakry, F. Baudoin, M. Bonnefont, D. Chafaï, *On gradient bounds for the heat kernel on the Heisenberg group,* J. Funct. Anal. 255 (2008), 1905–1938.

[4] F. Baudoin, M. Bonnefont, *Log-Sobolev inequalities for subelliptic operators satisfying a generalized curvature dimension inequality,* J. Funct. Anal. 262(2012), 2646–2676.

[5] F. Baudoin, N. Garofalo, *Curvature-dimension inequalities and Ricci lower bounds for sub-Riemannian manifolds with transverse symmetries,* arXiv:1101.3590.

[6] F. Baudoin, M. Gordina, T. Melcher, *Quasi-invariance for heat kernel measures on sub-Riemannian infinite-dimensional Heisenberg groups,* arXiv:1108.1527.

[7] J. M. Bismut, *Large Deviations and the Malliavin Calculus,* Boston: Birkhäuser, MA, 1984.

[8] A. N. Borodin, P. Salminen, *Handbook of Brownian Motion - Facts and Formulae,* Birkhäuser, Berlin, 1996.

[9] B. K. Driver, T. Melcker, *Hypoelliptic heat kernel inequalities on the Heisenberg group,* J. Funct. Anal. 221(2005), 340–365.

[10] A. Guillin, F.-Y. Wang, *Degenerate Fokker-Planck equations : Bismut formula, gradient estimate and Harnack inequality,* J. Diff. Equat. 253(2012), 20–40

[11] H.-Q. Li, *Estimation optimale du gradient du semi-groupe de la chaleur sur le groupe de Heisenberg,* J. Funct. Anal. 236(2006), 369–394.

[12] W. Liu, *Harnack inequality and applications for stochastic evolution equations with monotone drifts,* J. Evol. Equ. 9 (2009), 747–770.

[13] W. Liu, F.-Y. Wang, *Harnack inequality and strong Feller property for stochastic fast-diffusion equations,* J. Math. Anal. Appl. 342(2008), 651–662.

[14] S.-X. Ouyang, *Harnack inequalities and applications for multivalued stochastic evolution equations,* Inf. Dimen. Anal. Quant. Probab. Relat. Topics.

[15] S.-X. Ouyang, M. Röckner, F.-Y. Wang, *Harnack inequalities and applications for Ornstein-Uhlenbeck semigroups with jump,* Potential Anal. 36(2012), 301–315.

[16] M. Röckner, F.-Y. Wang, *Log-Harnack inequality for stochastic differential equations in Hilbert spaces and its consequences,* Infin. Dimens. Anal. Quant. Probab. Relat. Topics 13(2010), 27–37.

[17] F.-Y. Wang, *Logarithmic Sobolev inequalities on noncompact Riemannian manifolds,* Probab. Theory Relat. Fields 109(1997), 417-424.

[18] F.-Y. Wang *Harnack inequalities on manifolds with boundary and applications,* J. Math. Pures Appl. 94(2010), 304–321.

[19] F.-Y. Wang, *Harnack inequality for SDE with multiplicative noise and extension to Neumann semigroup on nonconvex manifolds,* Ann. Probab. 39(2011), 1447-1467.

[20] F.-Y. Wang, *Derivative formula and gradient estimates for Gruschin type semigroups,* to appear in J. Theo. Probab.

[21] F.-Y. Wang, *Generalized curvature condition for subelliptic diffusion processes,* arXiv: 1202.0778.

[22] F.-Y. Wang, J.-L. Wu and L. Xu, *Log-Harnack inequality for stochastic Burgers equations and applications*, J. Math. Anal. Appl. 384(2011), 151–159.

[23] F.-Y. Wang, C. Yuan, *Harnack inequalities for functional SDEs with multiplicative noise and applications,* Stoch. Proc. Appl. 121(2011), 2692–2710.

[24] F.-Y. Wang, L. Xu, *Derivative formula and applications for hyperdissipative stochastic Navier-Stokes/Burgers equations,* to appear in Inf. Dim. Quant. Probab. Relat. Topics arXiv:1009.1464.

[25] F.-Y. Wang, X.-C. Zhang, *Derivative formula and applications for degenerate diffusion semigroups,* arXiv1107.0096.

[26] L. Xu, *A modified log-Harnack inequality and asymptotically strong Feller property,* J. Evol. Equ. 11 (2011), 925–942.

[27] X.-C. Zhang, *Stochastic flows and Bismut formulas for stochastic Hamiltonian systems,* Stoch. Proc. Appl. 120(2010), 1929–1949.